



CONSIDERATIONS ON MICROBIAL CONTAMINATION OF CHICKEN MEAT COMMERCIALIZED IN PERU

CONSIDERACIONES SOBRE LA CONTAMINACIÓN MICROBIOLÓGICA EN LA CARNE DE POLLO EN EL PERÚ

Kathia Fiorella Tarazona Vega ^{1,a}, Rodolfo Ortiz Checa ^{1,a}, Alfredo Juan Chiappe Gonzalez ^{2,b}

Dear Editor:

While reviewing studies of interest that assess hygiene in the processing and distribution of chicken meat in our country, a widely consumed animal product, we have found concerning data that are also found in countries with similar socioeconomic conditions.

Similar hygiene levels and sanitary controls are observed in supply markets in both Peru and other Latin American countries, such as El Salvador. In El Salvador, the presence of contaminants such as *Salmonella* spp., *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), and *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified in 33 municipal markets. In Peru, the National Agrarian Health Service (SENASA, by its Spanish acronym) conducted an analysis in 2021 based on food samples collected from agricultural fields and various supply markets in 24 regions. The results showed a higher isolation of mesophilic aerobes and *E. coli* in chicken meat, while *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Campylobacter* spp. were found in smaller amounts⁽²⁾.

On the other hand, a retrospective study by Ho-Palma et al., in 2022, which covered 11 years of epidemiological surveillance in supply markets from three Peruvian cities (Huancayo, Huaral, and Tumbes), revealed that more than 75% of the analyzed samples contained *Salmonella* spp. in raw chicken cuts, both fresh and refrigerated or frozen⁽³⁾. Additionally, Inchuña N, in 2023, highlighted that in a wholesale market in the district of Tacna, 30.67% of the 75 chicken meat samples analyzed did not meet the quality standards established by Peruvian regulations⁽⁴⁾.

Furthermore, in 2019, the hygienic condition of 50 raw chicken meat outlets in major markets in Huánuco was evaluated. Alarmingly, nearly all collected samples were found to be contaminated with *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp., and the hygienic and infrastructure conditions were inadequate. For example, only 16% of meat handlers had received proper training, and 50% of establishments lacked potable water. Additionally, only 2% and 4% had refrigerators or freezers, respectively⁽⁵⁾.

¹ Faculty of Human Medicine, Universidad Ricardo Palma. Lima, Peru.

² Instituto de Investigaciones en Ciencias Biomédicas, Universidad Ricardo Palma. Lima, Peru.

^a MD.

^b MD, Infectious Disease Specialist.



Cite as: Tarazona Vega KF, Ortiz Checa R, Chiappe Gonzalez AJ. Considerations on microbial contamination of chicken meat commercialized in . Fac Med Hum. 2025;25(1):160-161 [doi:10.25176/RFMH.v25i1.6552](https://doi.org/10.25176/RFMH.v25i1.6552)

Article published by the Journal of the Faculty of Human Medicine of the Ricardo Palma University. It is an open access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which allows non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is duly cited. For commercial use, please contact revista.medicina@urp.edu.pe





The study by López A et al. in El Salvador detailed additional factors influencing poultry product contamination and indicated that *Salmonella* spp. is associated with the lack of hand disinfectant and clean towels; *E. coli* is linked to the use of accessories by handlers and inadequate storage temperatures, and *Staphylococcus aureus* is related to the lack of aprons, non-compliance with handwashing, and the failure to use clean towels⁽¹⁾. Similarly, Fernández N et al., in 2017, found in Lima markets that 75% of food handlers do not follow proper handling practices, and more than 40% lack a health card⁽⁶⁾.

The aforementioned pathogens pose a serious public health problem, as they are associated with diarrheal infections resulting from the consumption of contaminated food. It is important to note that chicken is the most widely consumed meat in Peru, with an average consumption of 49 kg per person per year⁽⁷⁾. To address this situation, SENASA provides training manuals for vendors of primary agricultural food products and feeds to ensure a minimum technical level for proper handling and infrastructure implementation. It is urgent to improve personnel training and the quality of handling and infrastructure for the processing and sale of poultry products.

Author contributions: KTV: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, and writing – original draft. ROC: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, and writing – original draft. ACG: Investigation, writing – original draft, and writing – review & editing. All authors approved the final version to be published.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Received: June 03, 2024.

Approved: November 19, 2024.

Funding: Self-funded.

Correspondence: Alfredo Juan Chiappe Gonzalez.

Email: alfredochiappe911@hotmail.com

REFERENCES

1. López A, Burgos T, Vanegas M, Álvarez Z, Méndez Y, Quinteros E. Factores asociados con la contaminación microbiológica en la carne de pollo comercializada en El Salvador. *Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica*. 2023;40(1):25-33. doi: [10.17843/rpmesp.2023.401.12100](https://doi.org/10.17843/rpmesp.2023.401.12100)
2. Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agraria (SENASA). Informe del monitoreo de residuos químicos y otros contaminantes en alimentos agropecuarios primarios y piensos, año 2021 [Internet]. Lima: SENASA; 2021 [citado 20 de mayo de 2023]. Disponible en: <https://www.gob.pe/institucion/senasa/infor-mes-publicaciones/2936134-infor-me-del-monitoreo-de-residuos-quimicos-y-otros-contaminantes-en-alimentos-agropecuarios-primarios-y-piensos-ano-2021>
3. Ho-Palma AC, Gonzales-Gustavson E, Quispe E, Crotta M, Nunney E, Limon G, et al. *Salmonella* in chicken and pork meat as a likely major contributor to foodborne illness in Peru. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2024;111(1):141-50. doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.23-0575](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.23-0575)
4. Nina Inchuña MS. Calidad microbiológica de la carne de pollo expendida en el Mercado Mayorista Miguel Grau del distrito de Tacna. *Rev Med Basadrina*. 2023;17(2):46-53. doi: [10.33326/26176068.2023.2.1939](https://doi.org/10.33326/26176068.2023.2.1939)
5. Vásquez-Ampuero JM, Tasayco-Alcántara WR. Presencia de patógenos en carne cruda de pollo en centros de expendio, Huánuco-Perú: una problemática en salud. *J Selva Andina Res Soc*. 2020;11(2):130-41. doi: [10.36610/jisars.2020.110200130](https://doi.org/10.36610/jisars.2020.110200130)
6. Huamán Santos ER, Zárate Murillo W. Análisis situacional de las condiciones higiénico-sanitarias del manipulador de alimentos en los mercados de abastos de Lima Cercado enero - junio - 2017 [Tesis de licenciatura]. Lima, Perú: Universidad Norbert Wiener; 2019 [citado 20 de mayo de 2023]. Disponible en: <https://repositorio.uwienner.edu.pe/entities/publication/5ab6ff91-c6ab-45eb-a600-62ff73a9509f>
7. Ministerio de Desarrollo Agrario y Riego (MIDAGRI). Boletín Estadístico Mensual "El Agro en Cifras" - 2020 [Internet]. Lima: MIDAGRI; 2020 [citado el 20 de mayo de 2023]. Disponible en: <https://www.gob.pe/institucion/midagri/informes-publicaciones/558835-boletin-estadistico-mensual-el-agro-en-cifras-2020>